References

- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.2A, pp. 71, 148. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Lehmann, M. S., Koetzle, T. F. & Hamilton, W. C. (1972). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 2, 225–233.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1991). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Sequeira, A., Rajagopal, H. & Chidambaram, R. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2514–2519.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford University Press.
- Stewart, R. F., Davidson, E. R. & Simpson, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 212-216.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 76-79

The Donor–Acceptor Complex between 4,6,8-Trimethylazulene and Picric Acid

CHRISTIAN NÄTHER, CLAUDIA ARAD AND HANS BOCK

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Frankfurt, Marie-Curie-Strasse 11, 60439 Frankfurt/Main, Germany. E-mail: chris@bock.anorg.chemie.uni-frankfurt.de

(Received 2 August 1996; accepted 20 September 1996)

Abstract

Slow cooling of an equimolar mixture of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene and picric acid (2,4,6-trinitrophenol) in ethanol yields crystals of an 1:1 donor-acceptor complex, $C_{13}H_{14}$. $C_6H_3N_3O_7$, the structure of which has been determined by X-ray diffraction at 200 K. The donor and acceptor molecules alternate in mixed stacks along the [001] direction. All geometric parameters of the donor as well as the acceptor molecules are comparable to those in isolated 4,6,8-trimethylazulene and picric acid.

Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), has been determined as part of a study of geometric perturbations

on the formation of electron donor-acceptor complexes (Bock, Sievert, Schödel & Kleine, 1996; Bock, Ziemer, Näther, Schödel, Kleine & Sievert, 1996; Bock, Seitz, Sievert, Kleine & Bats, 1996; Bock, Rauschenbach, Näther, Kleine & Bats, 1996). In addition, the sodium metal reduction of azulene has been investigated (Bock, Arad, Näther & Göbel, 1996). 4,6,8-Trimethylazulene was selected for co-crystallization with picric acid because azulene tends to be disordered in the solid state (Kovats, Günthard & Plattner, 1955; Robertson, Shearer, Sim & Watson, 1962) even in its molecular complexes (Hanson, 1965).



The crystal structure of the title complex contains mixed stacks of alternating donor and acceptor molecules, which are often observed in π -molecular complexes such as picric acid:anthracene (Herbstein & Kaftory, 1976) or picric acid:naphthalene (Banerjee & Brown, 1985; Yamaguchi, Goto, Takayanagi & Ogura, 1988). Between the stacks, which are oriented parallel to [001], short intermolecular contacts of 2.500(2) Å are observed between the H5 atom of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene and the O4 atom of the picric acid. Each molecule is enclosed in a quasi-hexagonal environment. The angles between the molecular mean planes of the 4,6,8trimethylazulene and picric acid molecules are 4.38(3) and $9.44(3)^\circ$, however, due to the non-parallel arrangement, the interplanar distances need additional specification. The calculated distances between the reference plane of the 4,6,8-trimethylazulene molecule and the centroid of the six-membered ring of picric acid are 3.35 (1) [interplanar angle = $4.38(1)^{\circ}$] and 3.50(1) Å [interplanar angle = $9.44(12)^{\circ}$], and indicate only a weak 'molecule pairing' within the stacks, in which the orientation of picric acid alternates with a periodicity of about 14 Å. It has been shown (Herbstein, 1971; Herbstein & Kaftory, 1975) that equimolar π -molecular complexes with stack-axis lengths of about 7 Å can be sorted into groups of quasi-isomorphous structures. In addition, only a few compounds exhibit periodicities of about 14 Å, e.g. pyrene:picryl chloride, triphenylene:picryl chloride and triphenylene:picryl bromide (Herbstein & Kaftory, 1975). The title complex represents another example of this structure type.

Neither the donor 4,6,8-trimethylazulene nor the acceptor picric acid exhibit significant distortions of their structures attributable to complex formation, presumably as a result of the relatively large donor and acceptor molecules. Smaller acceptor molecules such as bromine



(a)



Garcia, Srikrishan & Parthasarathy, 1978, 1980). Two of the three nitro groups are planar with the six-membered ring $[\omega(O2-N1-C2-C1) 1.0(1)$ and $\omega(O4-N2-C3) 1.1(2)^{\circ}]$, whereas the other one is twisted out of the ring plane by 40.1(2)°. The hydroxyl O1-H1 group forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the O2 atom of the nitro group, with distances H1...O2 of 1.71(1) Å and O1...O2 of 2.570(2) Å, and an O1-H1...O2 angle of 153(3)°.



Fig. 1. Crystal structure of the 1:1 donor-acceptor complex between 4,6,8-trimethylazulene and picric acid (a) viewed along [010] and (b) showing the orientation of the molecules within the stacks, with 4,6,8-trimethylazulene projected onto the mean plane (hydrogen centres have been omitted).

display significantly larger distortions in donor-acceptor complexes (Bock, Havlas, Rauschenbach, Näther & Kleine, 1996). The geometry of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene in the title complex resembles that of the isolated molecule (Wong, So & Mak, 1984). The molecular skeleton is planar within experimental error, the largest deviation of a carbon centre from the mean plane being 0.036 (1) Å. The geometry of the picric acid in the title complex is also similar to that of the isolated molecule (Duesler, Engelmann, Curtin & Paul, 1978; Soriano-

Fig. 2. The molecular structure of (a) 4,6,8-trimethylazulene and (b) picric acid with the labelling of the centres (displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level).

Experimental

Picric acid (2,4,6-trinitrophenol) and 4,6,8-trimethylazulene are commercially available. Crystals of the title compound were grown by slow cooling of an equimolar mixture of trimethylazulene and picric acid in ethanol.

Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{14}.C_6H_3N_3O_7$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 399.36$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 44	Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)			
$P2_1/c$	reflections	01-C1	1.332 (2)	C5—C6	1,383 (2)
a = 14.996(2) Å	$\theta = 10 - 15^{\circ}$	O2-N1	1.246 (2)	C10-C11	1.398 (2)
h = 0.8324(12) Å	$\mu = 0.116 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	O3—N1	1.216 (2)	C10-C19	1.408 (2)
b = 9.0324(12) A	T = 200(2) K	O4—N2	1.230 (2)	C11—C12	1.395 (2)
c = 13.401(2) A	Plack	O5—N2	1.222 (2)	C12—C13	1.412(2)
$\beta = 116.609(6)^{\circ}$		06—N3	1.226 (2)	C13-C14	1.400 (2)
$V = 1774.6 (4) A^3$	$0.6 \times 0.5 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}$	07—N3	1.221 (2)	C13—C19	1.494 (2)
Z = 4	Dark red	NI-CZ	1.459 (2)	C14C15 C14C15	1.405(2)
$D_r = 1.495 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		NZ-C4	1.400 (2)	C14 - C20	1.319(2)
$D_{\rm m}$ not measured		N_{1}	1.472(2) 1.408(2)	C13 = C10 C16 = -C17	1.398 (2)
Dm not measured		$C_{1} = C_{6}$	1.409 (2)	C16C21	1 514 (2)
Data collection		C_{2} - C_{3}	1.389 (2)	C17-C18	1.401 (2)
Data conection		C3—C4	1.380(2)	C18-C19	1.400(2)
Siemens P4 diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.99^{\circ}$	C4—C5	1.388 (2)	C18C22	1.512(2)
ω scans	$h = -21 \rightarrow 19$	O3-N1-O2	123.13 (12)	C1-C6-N3	119.50(11
Absorption correction:	$k = -14 \rightarrow 1$	O3-N1-C2	118.60 (12)	C11-C10-C19	109.13 (12
none	$l = -1 \rightarrow 17$	O2—N1—C2	118.26 (13)	C12-C11-C10	109.44 (12
6157 measured reflections	4 standard reflections	O5—N2—O4	124.49 (12)	C11—C12—C13	109.04 (12
5124 independent reflections	monitored every 100	O5—N2—C4	117.93 (12)	C14-C13-C12	124.92 (12
2000 sharmed and set and	monitored every 100	04—N2—C4	117.59 (12)	C14—C13—C19	128.86 (11
3999 observed renections	reflections	07—N3—06	124.63 (13)	C12 - C13 - C19	106.21 (11
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: negligible	07-N3-C6	117.31 (12)	$C_{13} = C_{14} = C_{15}$	125.98 (11
$R_{\rm int} = 0.0186$		00 - 00 - 00	125 03 (12)	C13 - C14 - C20	116.38 (12
			119 (14 (13)	C15C15C14	131.08 (12
Refinement		$C^{2}-C^{1}-C^{6}$	115.91 (11)	C15-C16-C17	128.09 (11
D ofinement on F^2	$(\Lambda/\pi) < 0.001$	C3C2C1	122.50 (11)	C15-C16-C21	116.45 (11
Remement on F	$(\Delta/\delta)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$	C3-C2-N1	117.32 (12)	C17-C16-C21	115.46 (11
R(F) = 0.0459	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.427 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}$	C1C2N1	120.18 (11)	C18—C17—C16	131.02 (11
$wR(F^2) = 0.1334$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.217 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$	C4-C3-C2	118.46 (12)	C19C18C17	126.15 (12
S = 1.025	Extinction correction: none	C3C4C5	122.11 (11)	C19C18C22	117.76 (11
5124 reflections	Atomic scattering factors	C3—C4—N2	118.83 (11)	C17C18C22	116.07 (11
269 parameters	from International Tables	C5-C4-N2	119.06(11)	C18C19C10	125.05 (12
$1/(-2/E^2) + (0.069D)^2$	for Crystallography (1007	10 - 13 - 14	118.03 (11)	$C_{10} - C_{19} - C_{13}$	128.74(11
$w = 1/[\sigma (r_o) + (0.008P)^2]$	Vol C Tables 4268 and	C5C6N3	122.90 (12)	010-019-013	100.16(11
+ 0.4917P1	voi. C. Tables 4.2.0.8 and	CJ-CO-105	111.27(11)		

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

6.1.1.4)

where $P = (F_{c}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	х	v	z	U_{ca}
01	0.42592 (7)	0.08698 (13)	0.40918 (9)	0.0379(3)
O2	0.47266 (8)	0.33996 (14)	0.42125 (10)	0.0447 (3)
03	0.35868 (10)	0.49589 (12)	0.36271 (10)	0.0457 (3)
04	0.01335 (9)	0.38791 (12)	0.19549 (10)	0.0425 (3)
05	-0.03572 (8)	0.17797 (13)	0.17935 (10)	0.0463 (3)
06	0.34160 (9)	-0.14088 (12)	0.43573 (10)	0.0457 (3)
07	0.22081 (10)	-0.18227 (12)	0.27289 (12)	0.0536(3)
N1	0.38385 (9)	0.37721 (13)	0.37886 (10)	0.0324 (3)
N2	0.02928 (8)	0.26488 (13)	0.20805 (9)	0.0294 (2)
N3	0.27379 (9)	-0.10490 (12)	0.34677 (11)	0.0327 (3)
CI	0.33292 (9)	0.13453 (14)	0.36406 (10)	0.0254 (2)
C2	0.30653 (9)	0.27302 (13)	0.34606 (10)	0.0248 (2)
C3	0.20800 (9)	0.31655 (13)	0.29616(10)	0.0250(2)
C4	0.13347 (8)	0.21988 (13)	0.26127 (10)	0.0233 (2)
C5	0.15405 (9)	0.08164 (13)	0.27548 (11)	0.0248 (2)
C6	0.25294 (9)	0.04166 (13)	0.32790(11)	0.0251 (2)
C10	0.08984 (10)	0.39206 (14)	0.47870(11)	0.0300 (3)
C11	0.02251 (10)	0.2838 (2)	0.45181 (12)	0.0339 (3)
C12	0.07507 (10)	0.16182 (15)	0.48527 (11)	0.0303 (3)
C13	0.17831 (8)	0.18992 (12)	0.53538 (10)	0.0224 (2)
C14	0.25370 (9)	0.09201 (12)	0.58077 (10)	0.0245 (2)
C15	0.35685 (9)	0.11710(13)	0.62905 (10)	0.0257 (2)
C16	0.41029 (9)	0.23830(13)	0.64477 (10)	0.0245 (2)
C17	0.37267 (9)	0.37041 (13)	0.61440(10)	0.0247 (2)
C18	0.27448 (9)	0.41907 (12)	0.56365 (10)	0.0234 (2)
C19	0.18783 (9)	0.34061 (12)	0.52978 (10)	0.0227 (2)
C20	0.22225 (12)	-0.05500 (14)	0.57986(14)	0.0360 (3)
C21	0.52290 (10)	0.2265 (2)	0.70120 (13)	0.0353 (3)
C22	0.26238 (11)	0.57051 (13)	0.54219 (13)	0.0341 (3)

The C-, O- and N-atom positions were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen centres were located from a difference map, positioned with idealized geometry and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters $[U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$ (for aromatic C) and $1.5U_{eq}$ (for methyl C)], using a riding model with the parameters C—H(aromatic) = 0.95 Å and C—H(methyl) = 0.98 Å. The H atom bound to O1 was refined with free coordinates and a free isotropic displacement parameter.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990a). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL/PC (Siemens, 1990b). Software used to prepare material for publication: CIFTAB in SHELXL93.

This project is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the State of Hessen, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the A. Messer Foundation.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KA1217). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Banerjee, A. & Brown, C. J. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 82-84.

- Bock, H., Arad, C., Näther, C. & Göbel, I. (1996). *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **79**, 92–100.
- Bock, H., Havlas, Z., Rauschenbach, A., Näther, C. & Kleine, M. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1529-1530.
- Bock, H., Rauschenbach, A., Näther, C., Kleine, M. & Bats, J. W. (1996). *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon*. In the press.
- Bock, H., Seitz, W., Sievert, M., Kleine, M. & Bats, J. W. (1996). Angew. Chem. 108, 2382–2384; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 2244–2246.
- Bock, H., Sievert, M., Schödel, H. & Kleine, M. (1996). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B. In the press.
- Bock, H., Ziemer, K., Näther, C., Schödel, H., Kleine, M. & Sievert, M. (1996). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B. In the press.
- Duesler, E. N., Engelmann, J. H., Curtin, D. Y. & Paul, I. C. (1978). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 7, 449–453.
- Hanson, A. W. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 19-26.
- Herbstein, F. H. (1971). *Perspectives in Structural Chemistry*, Vol. IV, edited by J. D. Dunitz & J. A. Ibers, pp. 166–395. New York: John Wiley.
- Herbstein, F. H. & Kaftory, M. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 60-67.
- Herbstein, F. H. & Kaftory, M. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 387-396.
- Kovats, E., Günthard, H. H. & Plattner, P. A. (1955). *Helv. Chim.* Acta, 38, 1912–1919.
- Robertson, J. M., Shearer, H. M. M., Sim, G. A. & Watson, D. G. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 1-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990a). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990b). SHELXTUPC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. X-ray Single Crystal Analysis Software. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Soriano-Garcia, M., Srikrishan, T. & Parthasarathy, R. (1978). Acta Cryst. A34, 114-117.
- Soriano-Garcia, M., Srikrishan, T. & Parthasarathy, R. (1980). Z. Kristallogr. 151, 317–319.
- Wong, H. N. C., So, K. P. & Mak, T. C. W. (1984). Z. Kristallogr. 169, 117–125.
- Yamaguchi, S., Goto, M., Takayanagi, H. & Ogura, H. (1988). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 61, 1026-1028.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 79-81

N,*N*'-Bis(4-methylbenzoyl)-*p*-phenylenediamine at 200 K

NORBERT NAGEL, CHRISTIAN NÄTHER AND HANS BOCK*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Frankfurt, Marie-Curie-Strasse 11, 60439 Frankfurt/Main, Germany. E-mail: nagel@bock.anorg.chemie.uni-frankfurt.de

(Received 27 August 1996; accepted 20 September 1996)

Abstract

The title compound, N,N'-*p*-phenylenebis(4-methylbenzamide), $C_{22}H_{20}N_2O_2$, crystallizes with an almost linear arrangement of the three benzene units, but both outer benzene ring planes are twisted relative to the plane of the central ring by $58.03 (6)^{\circ}$. N—H···O—C hydrogen bonds perpendicular to the long axis of the molecules connect them into ribbons parallel to the *a* axis.

Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), has been determined as part of a study on the structures and properties of N, N'-di- and N, N, N', N'-tetrasubstituted p-phenylenediamines (Bock, Göbel, Näther, Havlas, Gavezzotti & Filippini, 1993; Bock, Meuret, Näther & Krynitz, 1994). The derivative N, N'-ditosyl-*p*-phenylenediamine, with two sulfonamide instead of two amide subunits, has the ability to include a large variety of solvents on crystallization. The inclusion compounds with one molecular equivalent of acetone, cyclopentanone, cyclopent-2-en-1-one, tetrahydrofuran, 2,5-dihydrofuran and dioxolane are isostructural, whereas a second type of isostructural inclusion compound includes one molar equivalent of a less polar solvent such as benzene or furan (Nagel, 1993; Näther, 1994). In addition, hydrogen-bonded 2:1 adducts crystallize from solutions containing strong hydrogenbond acceptors such as dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Nagel, Näther & Bock, 1995) and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). In both types of isostructural inclusion compound, only one O atom of each sulfonamide group is involved in hydrogen bonding. We therefore became interested in the inclusion properties of (I).



Crystallization experiments on (I), however, proved it to be almost insoluble in most organic solvents and no solvates could be crystallized. Solvent-free crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray structure analysis were obtained from DMF. The compound crystallizes in space group $P\bar{1}$ with half a molecule in the asymmetric unit. The geometric parameters correspond to values found in similar compounds (Orpen, Brammer, Allen, Kennard, Watson & Taylor, 1994). The molecules are located around crystallographic centres of inversion and their conformation can thus be characterized by the torsion along the three bonds C1-N1, N1-C4 and C4-C10 (Fig. 1). The torsion angles ω (C2-C1-N1-C4) of -30.7(2), ω (C1—N1—C4—C10) of 179.29(13) and ω (N1-C4-C10-C11) of -29.1 (2)° confirm that the H1N-N1-C4=01 amide subunit is fixed in an antiperiplanar conformation and that the H1N and O1 atoms are both twisted out of the planes of their adjacent benzene subunits by about 30°. The position of the refined hydrogen bound to N1 indicates that the substituted nitrogen centre is almost planar, in contrast to the analogous compound with sulfonamide subunits,